

| | | | |
|---|-----------------|----------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/030,735 | ROBERTS ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Mahe M. Haddad | 1644 | |

All Participants:

(1) Mahe M. Haddad.

(2) Kawai Lau.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 9 June 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☐ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☒ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

50 and 54

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant agreed to the changes set forth in the Examiner's Amendment to put the case in condition for allowance.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Mahe Haddad

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)